Benefits and limitations to replacing commercial N with legumes in cool season grass-based pastures









Performa	ince and l	Productivity Blaser, e	t al. 1956. (Virginia).
Treatment	ADG	Steer days	Gain/acre
	lbs/hd/d	Steer-days/ac	lbs
Orchardgrass + 216 lb N/ac			
Orchardgrass + Clover			
Fescue (?E+) + 216 lb N/ac			
Fescue (?E+) + Clover			

Treatment	ADG	Steer days	Gain/acre
			Gain, aci c
	bs/hd/d	Steer-days/ac	lbs
rchardgrass + 216 lb N/ac	1.07b		
rchardgrass + Clover	1.19a		
escue (?E+) + 216 lb N/ac	0.89c		
escue (?E+) + Clover	1.01b		

#### Dr. Dennis Hancock Professor and Forage Extension Specialist



Benefits and limitations to replacing commercial N with legumes in cool season grass-based pastures









Low cost conditions scenario. The estimated variable cost of clover establishment (\$22.50/acre) was annualized assuming a 7.5% interest rate. Annual variable cost of maintaining grass + clover was estimated to be \$106/acre. A spreadsheet containing the input costs and rates of fertilization is available at (http://bit.ll/grassclover/h).

# Annual Cost Advantage for Grass + Clover Depends on N Price and Stand Life<sup>1</sup> Differences in animal performance still must be factored in before assuming profit or loss!

lover on Stock	er Productio	n in the S
	ADG	Gain
	(lbs/hd/d)	(lb/acre)
E+	1.10	126
NE		
E+ & WC		
NE & WC		

#### Dr. Dennis Hancock Professor and Forage Extension Specialist



Benefits and limitations to replacing commercial N with legumes in cool season grass-based pastures



Economics of E+ and NE Fescue With and Without Clover				
	E+ Fescue + N	E+ Fescue + Clover		
ADG (lb)1	1.1	1.6		
Gain/acre1	126	150		
Stocking rate (cows/acre) <sup>1</sup>	0.5	0.41		
<sup>1</sup> Based on Hill et al., 2007. <sup>2</sup> Current <u>maintenance</u> cosi	ts and rates for	inputs listed	in UGA enterprise budgets (Russell and	
Hancock, 2016), and Extensi <sup>3</sup> Assumes feeder calf price <sup>4</sup> Assumes non-pasture rela	on rec. P, K, & s of \$148/cwt. ated cow costs	lime rates ass are \$325/hd	from UGA enterprise budgets.	



Ho ser	w w nten pa	rould you finish the following ce? The use of legumes in my stures and hayfields will:	
P	erce	nt of Responses	
	33%	increase forage quality.	
	25%	lower nitrogen fertilizer costs.	
	21%	put more weight on my weanlings.	
	8%	too severely limit weed control.	
	4%	not be cost-effective.	
	4%	reduce my carrying capacity.	
	4%	cause bloat problems.	
lancock, unpublishe	ed data. L	egume usage survey of GA Cattlemen, spring 2007.	CRA





Dr. Dennis Hancock Professor and Forage Extension Specialist



Benefits and limitations to replacing commercial N with legumes in cool season grass-based pastures







lit: Dr. Garry La







#### Dr. Dennis Hancock Professor and Forage Extension Specialist



Benefits and limitations to replacing commercial N with legumes in cool season grass-based pastures













#### Dr. Dennis Hancock Professor and Forage Extension Specialist



Benefits and limitations to replacing commercial N with legumes in cool season grass-based pastures





Dr. Dennis Hancock Professor and Forage Extension Specialist

