Adenovirus 36, Adiposity, and Bone Strength in Late-Adolescent Females
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ABSTRACT

Adenovirus 36 (Ad36) is the only adenovirus to date that has been linked with obesity in humans. Our previous studies in late-adolescent females suggest that excess weight in the form of fat mass is associated with lower cortical bone strength. The purpose of this study was to assess the relationship between Ad36-specific antibodies, adiposity, and bone strength in our sample of late-adolescent females. A cross-sectional study of 115 females aged 18 to 19 years was performed. Participants were classified according to adiposity by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (body fat percentage as normal-fat [≤ 32% body fat; n = 93] or high-fat [≥ 32% body fat; n = 22]), and according to the presence of Ad36-specific neutralizing antibodies. Peripheral quantitative computed tomography measured bone parameters at the 4% (trabecular bone) and 20% (cortical bone) site, and muscle cross-sectional area (MCSA) at the 66% site, from the distal metaphyses of the radius and the tibia. Bone strength was determined from volumetric bone mineral density and bone geometry to calculate bone strength index (BSI; trabecular site) and polar strength–strain index (SSI; cortical site). After adjustment for MCSA and limb length, radial SSI was lower in Ad36+ versus Ad36− subjects from the high-fat group (p < 0.03), but not the normal-fat group. No significant differences were observed between groups in tibial SSI or BSI. These data support an association of adiposity and cortical bone strength at the radius with the presence of neutralizing antibodies to Ad36 in late-adolescent females. © 2013 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research.
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Introduction

Environmental and genetic influences have been identified as contributing factors to the escalating obesity problem(1,2) and recently, the idea that obesity arises from viral infections has received increased attention.(3) Several viruses have been reported to cause obesity in animal models,(4) but adenoviruses are the only adipogenic viruses linked with human obesity.(1,5) To date, the human adenovirus 36 (Ad36) is the only adenovirus that has been linked with obesity. The Ad36–obesity relationship has been investigated in children and adults, where between 22%7 and 30%2,8 of obese children were found to be positive for Ad36 antibodies, and Ad36 positive versus negative subjects had higher body mass index (BMI; in kg/m²) and waist circumference. In a recent review of existing Ad36 studies,9 pooled data (n = 559) showed an overall prevalence of 28% Ad36 positive status in obese children and 10% in non-obese children.

The significance of greater Ad36 infection rates in obese individuals is unknown, but it is possible that infection with Ad36 may partially explain various adverse health consequences associated with obesity, such as an increased risk for osteoporosis and related fractures, particularly at the forearm.10 The idea that viral infections negatively affect bone has been reported in studies of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) patients, with osteoporosis prevalence estimates in cross-sectional studies varying from 55%11 to 89%.12 Given the relationship between Ad36 and adipogenesis, it is plausible that being Ad36 positive...
could be a contributing factor in the bone-fat relationship. However, this proposition warrants investigation.

The purpose of this study, in a sample of late-adolescent females, was to test the hypothesis that bone strength would be lower in participants with high levels of body fat and Ad36 positive as compared to those with normal levels of body fat and Ad36 negative.

Subjects and Methods

Study participants

Data were obtained from late-adolescent (ie, postpubertal) females (n = 115), aged 18 to 19 years who participated in a study published previously on adiposity and bone. The age group was selected to minimize any influence of pubertal maturation on the bone outcome variables. The 115 subjects included in this work were chosen from the 120 originally recruited because these participants had successful pQCT scans that could be used in the analysis. Participants were divided into two groups on the basis of their percentage body fat (%body fat; normal-fat (<32% body fat; n = 93) and high-fat (≥32% body fat; n = 22). The cutoff of 32% was selected based on levels of body fat associated with cardiovascular disease risk factors. Cut points used to denote Ad36+ (≥0.4 optical density [OD] 450 nm; n = 62) and Ad36− (<0.4 OD 450 nm; n = 53) groups were determined based on control OD values. Participant ethnicity and race were classified with the use of the National Institutes of Health Policy and Guidelines on the Inclusion of Women and Minorities as Subjects in Clinical Research. In this study, 108 participants were white, 10 were Asian, 5 were Hispanic, and 2 were black. When race was included as a covariate in the analyses of bone, %body fat and adenovirus outcomes, it did not have a statistically significant effect; therefore, participants from all ethnic and racial groups were included in the analyses. The main inclusion criteria in this study were being female, between 18 to 19 years of age and having normal menstrual cycles (ie, ≥4 menstrual periods in the past 6 months). Exclusion criteria were determined by self-report of premenarcheal status (as determined by menstrual history), history of weight loss or gain in the past 6 months (ie, ±10% initial body weight), present illness or chronic disease, known bone disease or disease known to influence bone metabolism (eg, cerebral palsy, juvenile rheumatoid arthritis), growth disorders, eating disorders, parity, participation in Division I collegiate athletics, and the use of supplements or medications that may influence body composition or bone metabolism (eg, corticosteroids). Procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects at The University of Georgia, and all participants provided written consent.

Anthropometry

Measures of height and weight were completed after the removal of shoes and outdoor clothing. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm by wall-mounted stadiometer (Novel Products Inc, Rockton, IL, USA). Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg by electronic scale (Seca Bella 840; Seca, Columbia, MD, USA). The scale was checked weekly for accuracy with the use of known weights. One-way random-effects model, single-measure intraclass coefficients (ICCs) were calculated in females aged 6 to 10 years (n = 10) measured twice in a 2-week period by the same individual. The height and weight ICC (R value) and test-retest coefficient of variation (CV) (percentage) values were 0.99 and 0.4% and 0.99 and 1.4%, for height and weight, respectively. Limb length was measured with anthropometric tape (Rosscraft, Inc, Surrey, Canada) to the nearest 0.10 mm at the tip of the tibia (the distal edge of the medial malleolus to the tibial plateau) and forearm (distance between the ulnar styloid process and olecranon).

Dietary intake and energy expenditure

Dietary intake and physical activity data were collected to account for nutrient and loading activity that may confound the bone results. Three-day diet records, including 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day, were used to estimate average daily intakes of energy, macronutrients, calcium, and vitamin D. The 3-day diet records were analyzed by Food Processor for Windows version 8.0 (ESHA Research, Salem, OR, USA). In our laboratory, the reliability of diet records was investigated in a previous study of females 6 to 10 years of age (n = 10) who completed 3-day diet records twice over a 2-week period. In that investigation, one-way random effects model ICCs were computed for 3-day soft tissue wedge (Hologic anthropomorphic spine phantom, Model DPA/QDR-1;SN9374) composed of varying thicknesses of aluminum and Lucite, calibrated against stearic acid (100% fat) and water (8.6% fat). In our laboratory, a CV of 0.36% was observed from 648 scans of the spine phantom during a 3-year period. On the basis of a one-factor random effects model, single measure ICCs were calculated in 5 females, aged 18 to 30 years, scanned twice in our laboratory during a 7-day period for fat mass, FFST mass, and %body fat, and all values were R ≥ 0.87.

Body composition

Body composition variables (fat mass [kg], fat-free soft tissue [FFST] mass [kg], and %body fat) were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (Delphi A, S/N 70467; Hologic Inc., Bedford, MA, USA). All scans were measured by the same technician using Hologic Whole Body Analysis software (version 11.2). Quality assurance for fat mass, FFST mass, and %body fat was performed by calibration against a three-step soft tissue wedge (Hologic anthropomorphic spine phantom, Model DPA/QDR-1;SN9374) composed of varying thicknesses of aluminum and Lucite, calibrated against stearic acid (100% fat) and water (8.6% fat). In our laboratory, a CV of 0.36% was observed from 648 scans of the spine phantom during a 3-year period. On the basis of a one-factor random effects model, single measure ICCs were calculated in 5 females, aged 18 to 30 years, scanned twice in our laboratory during a 7-day period for fat mass, FFST mass, and %body fat, and all values were R ≥ 0.87.

Determination of Ad36 seropositivity

Seropositivity to Ad36 was determined by ELISA. For the indirect ELISA assay, 10 μg virus antigen in 100 μL PBS was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Cells were washed and blotted, then primary antibody test sera (1:10 dilution) or control (PBS) was added to wells and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Secondary antibody (goat anti-human immunoglobulin G [IgG] (H+L) whole molecule-alkaline phosphatase conjugated)
diluted 1:500 was added to wells (100 μL/well) and incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour. Wells were washed and 100 μL para-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate/well was added and incubated at 37 °C for 10 to 15 minutes. Absorbance was measured at OD 405/495 nm.

Peripheral quantitative computed tomography

Peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) (Stratec XCT-2000; Stratec Medizintechnik GmbH, Pforzheim, Germany) measures were taken at the 4% and 20% site of the nondominant tibia and radius from the distal metaphysis, and represent areas high in trabecular and cortical bone, respectively. Image processing and calculation of the bone indices were determined using Stratec software (version 5.50d). Each scan was obtained with a 0.4-mm voxel, at a slice thickness of 2.4 mm and a scan speed of 20 mm/s. The positioning of the scans were determined in a scout view using the medial endplate as an anatomic marker and automatically set by the software at 4% or 20% sites.

The following variables were assessed at the tibia and radius for the 4%: Total and trabecular volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD; mg/cm³), total bone mineral content (BMC; mg/mm), and total bone cross-sectional area (mm²) were analyzed using contour mode 2 to analyze the outer bone edge and peel mode 2 to separate the cortical and trabecular compartments. The following variables were assessed at the tibia and radius for the 20%: cortical vBMD (mg/cm³), cortical bone area (mm²), total bone cross-sectional area (mm²), cortical BMC (mg/mm), and cortical thickness (mm). Cortical bone variables for the 20% sites were assessed using cort mode 1 and a threshold of 710 mg/cm³. Estimated bone strength was obtained from vBMD and bone geometry by calculating the bone strength index (BSI; trabecular site) and polar strength-strain index (SSI; cortical site), which represent the strength of bone against compression and the density-weighted section modulus, respectively, and are valid measures of bone strength. The SSI was analyzed with cort mode 1 and a threshold of 280 mg/cm³, and was calculated as the section modulus multiplied by the ratio of cortical vBMD and normal physiologic density (1,200 mg/mm³):

$$\text{SSI} = \sum (a \times d^2)$$

$$= \frac{(\text{cortical vBMD/normal physiologic density vBMD})}{d_{\text{max}}}$$  \(1\)

BSI (mg²/mm⁴) = total cross sectional area × total vBMD²  \(2\)

Section modulus (mm³) is calculated as \((a \times d^2)/d_{\text{max}}\), where \(a\) is the cross-sectional area of a voxel (mm²), \(d\) is the distance of the voxel from the center of gravity (mm), and \(d_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum distance (eccentricity) of one voxel to the center of gravity (mm).

A third measurement was taken at the 66% site to assess muscle cross-sectional area (MCSA; mm²), an estimate of muscle strength, and muscle density (mm³), an estimate of fat content in muscle. The MCSA was determined by placing a region of interest within the subcutaneous fat tissue. Contour mode 3 with a threshold of 34 mg/cm³ and peel mode 1 determined the area of muscle plus bone. Contour mode 1, 2, and mode 3 with a threshold of 34 mg/cm³ and peel mode 1 determined the area of muscle plus bone. To assess muscle density, muscle and bone area were separated from fat using a threshold of 40 mg/cm³. Bone area and density were found using a threshold of 150 mg/cm³. Muscle density was calculated using the bone area and density and muscle area results:

\[ \text{Cort-Sub_Cort area = Bone + (Muscle+)} \text{ area = BMA} \quad (3) \]

\[ \text{Cort-Sub_Cort density = Bone + (Muscle+)} \text{ density = BMD} \quad (4) \]

\[ \text{Cortical area = Bone area = BA} \quad (5) \]

\[ \text{Cortical density = Bone density = BD} \quad (6) \]

\[ \text{Muscle Density = ((BMD + (BMA/BA)-BD)}/((BMA-BA)/BA) \quad (7) \]

All pQCT measurements were performed and analyzed by one trained operator who scanned a cone phantom at the beginning of each scan day to confirm machine calibration. Test-retest measurements were performed in 5 females, aged 18 to 24 years, to determine reliability of the pQCT in our laboratory. The one-factor random effects model ICCs for all pQCT measurements were calculated as \( R \geq 0.97 \).

Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed with the use of SPSS version 20.0.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Normal distribution and homogeneity of variances were confirmed by Shapiro-Wilks W and Levene's tests, respectively. Group differences for age, anthropometric, body composition, dietary intake, physical activity, Ad36, and unadjusted bone response variables were determined with the use of independent samples two-tailed t tests. Descriptive statistics for raw variables are presented as mean ± SD. Bone measures were adjusted for MCSA, an acceptable surrogate of muscle strength, and limb length, because the rate of bone formation during growth is highly influenced by mechanical loading generated by muscle forces. Because muscle density has been linked to fat infiltration, we subsequently tested whether muscle density could explain the differences in the groups. An F test was performed to test the assumption of homogeneity of regression slopes for the interaction between the independent variables (ie, adiposity groups and Ad36 groups) and the covariates (eg, MCSA and limb length). Because there was no interaction, a 2 × 2 analysis of covariance (Ad36 seropositivity as positive or negative) × (adiposity as high-fat versus normal-fat) was used to determine effects of Ad36 seropositivity and %body fat on bone strength at the tibia and radius. Estimated means of bone variables in the adjusted analyses are reported as mean ± SE. Statistically significant differences are reported if \( p < 0.05 \).

Results

Participant characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1 as unadjusted values. Results in Table 1 are grouped first by Ad36 seropositivity and second by adiposity. Approximately
Correlations between body composition, adenovirus, and bone measurements

Both bivariate and partial correlations, controlling for limb length and MCSA, between adiposity and bone variables showed significant inverse associations between %body fat and bone variables have been reported. Bivariate and partial correlations, controlling for limb length and MCSA, between Ad36 and bone variables did not show significant results when the entire sample was included in the analyses. However, when partial correlations were calculated in the high-fat group only, Ad36 was positively associated with %body fat ($r = 0.528; p = 0.017$) and negatively associated with SSI of the radius ($r = -0.451; p = 0.046$).

Comparisons between adiposity and adenovirus groups

Table 2 summarizes group-specific means for each bone variable based on analysis of covariance. After controlling for MCSA and limb length, statistically significant adiposity × virus interactions were observed, such that total area (at both the 4% and 20% radial sites), total BMC at the 4% site, and measures of cortical BMC, cortical area, and SSI of the 20% radius were all significantly lower in Ad36+ versus Ad36− subjects from the high-fat group, but not the normal-fat group ($p < 0.03$). When correcting for muscle density in addition to MCSA and limb length, the statistically significant results shown in Table 2 were not altered. No significant obesity × Ad36 interactions were observed at the 4% or 20% sites of the tibia. Figure 1 shows a visual representation in the high-fat group of the overall effect by which smaller cortical bone dimensions at the 20% site, as was observed in the Ad36+ compared with the Ad36− group, had on estimated bone strength (SSI), an estimate of torsional bone strength, relative to %body fat, and radial and tibial MCSA and length.

Discussion

Obesity is a multifaceted condition whose causes and consequences are strongly interrelated. In humans, a higher prevalence of Ad36 antibodies is present in obese individuals and Ad36 has been associated with increased adiposity in animals. Our data using DXA-derived %body fat agree with the BMI data from previous Ad36 studies. In the present study, the prevalence of Ad36 seropositivity was found in one-half of our subject group as a whole (53.9%) and was higher in subjects with high %body fat than those with a normal %body fat (66% versus 46%). This study also found that being Ad36+ in the presence of high levels of body fat is associated with lower trabecular and cortical bone structure and strength parameters at the radius, a non-weight-bearing skeletal site. These relationships were independent of potentially confounding factors such as muscle size, muscle density, and limb length. Our data therefore suggest that when infection for Ad36 is present alongside excess adiposity, it could have a negative effect on bone. To our knowledge, there are no studies reporting the effects of Ad36 or any adipogenic virus on...
osteogenesis, in spite of the fact that adipogenesis and osteogenesis are interrelated processes.\textsuperscript{(32–34)}

Adult obesity has traditionally been thought to protect against bone loss at various skeletal sites\textsuperscript{(155)} and body weight has previously been used as a positive predictor of areal bone mineral density (aBMD). However, recent reports, including those from our group, have shown that obesity is not protective against decreases in bone mass; instead, increased fat mass is associated with low aBMD, BMC\textsuperscript{(36,37)} and bone strength\textsuperscript{(13,38)} and an increased risk for skeletal fractures.\textsuperscript{(37,39)} In studies of children and adolescents,\textsuperscript{(13,38,40)} overweight or obesity was inversely related to bone strength parameters at a predominantly cortical site of the radius, suggesting that bone strength of higher-weight individuals might not be adequate for bone health at this site. The lower radial SSI in high-fat subjects from the Ad36+ group in the present study is important because SSI is a valid estimate of torsional bone strength.\textsuperscript{(20)} It may be that obesity increases risk for fractures at non–weight-bearing skeletal sites, such as the forearm, given that the mechanical force during a fall is proportional to body weight. This may also explain why studies report the radius as the site with the highest percentage of child fractures, and higher fracture rates in overweight compared to normal weight children.\textsuperscript{(39,41,42)}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
\hline
\textbf{Bone variable} & \textbf{Normal-fat} & \textbf{High-fat} & \textbf{Normal-fat} & \textbf{High-fat} \\
\hline
\textbf{Radius} & & & & \\
\hline
Trabecular (4\%) site & & & & \\
Total area (mm\(^2\)) & 280 ± 6.02 & 246 ± 11.4\(^c\) & 267 ± 6.25 & 296 ± 14.9 \\
Total BMC (mg/mm\(^2\)) & 95.9 ± 1.85 & 86.8 ± 3.48 & 92.6 ± 1.91 & 97.3 ± 4.56 \\
Total vBMD (mg/cm\(^3\)) & 348 ± 8.00 & 358 ± 15.1 & 350 ± 8.29 & 332 ± 19.7 \\
Trabecular vBMD (mg/cm\(^3\)) & 214 ± 4.46 & 207 ± 8.41 & 214 ± 4.62 & 206 ± 11.0 \\
BSI (mg\(^2\)/mm\(^4\)) & 3397 ± 120 & 3125 ± 226 & 3267 ± 124 & 3291 ± 296 \\
\hline
Cortical (20\%) site & & & & \\
Total area (mm\(^2\)) & 97.4 ± 1.65 & 83.7 ± 3.11\(^c\) & 93.2 ± 1.71 & 99.4 ± 4.07 \\
Cortical BMC (mg/mm) & 83.4 ± 1.07 & 76.1 ± 2.01\(^c\) & 81.8 ± 1.11 & 84.7 ± 2.64 \\
Cortical vBMD (mg/cm\(^3\)) & 1191 ± 2.99 & 1196 ± 5.63 & 1196 ± 3.09 & 1185 ± 7.37 \\
Cortical area (mm\(^2\)) & 70.1 ± 8.80 & 63.6 ± 1.66\(^c\) & 68.4 ± 9.13 & 71.3 ± 2.17 \\
Cortical thickness (mm) & 2.64 ± 0.031 & 2.67 ± 0.059 & 2.66 ± 0.032 & 2.64 ± 0.077 \\
SSI (mm\(^3\)) & 225 ± 4.97 & 186 ± 9.37\(^c\) & 217 ± 5.15 & 235 ± 12.3 \\
\hline
\textbf{Tibia} & & & & \\
Trabecular (4\%) site & & & & \\
Total area (mm\(^2\)) & 938 ± 13.6 & 922 ± 25.4 & 912 ± 14.0 & 939 ± 33.6 \\
Total BMC (mg/mm) & 291 ± 5.26 & 283 ± 9.85 & 290 ± 5.42 & 277 ± 13.0 \\
Total vBMD (mg/cm\(^3\)) & 311 ± 5.49 & 312 ± 10.3 & 320 ± 5.66 & 293 ± 13.6 \\
Trabecular vBMD (mg/cm\(^3\)) & 252 ± 3.70 & 250 ± 6.93 & 260 ± 3.82 & 245 ± 9.16 \\
BSI (mg\(^2\)/mm\(^4\)) & 9165 ± 303 & 8973 ± 567 & 9358 ± 312 & 8174 ± 749 \\
\hline
Cortical (20\%) site & & & & \\
Total area (mm\(^2\)) & 342 ± 5.69 & 314 ± 10.7 & 330 ± 5.86 & 326 ± 14.1 \\
Cortical BMC (mg/mm) & 228 ± 3.28 & 213 ± 6.15 & 224 ± 3.38 & 216 ± 8.12 \\
Cortical vBMD (mg/cm\(^3\)) & 1173 ± 2.34 & 1177 ± 4.41 & 1172 ± 2.43 & 1179 ± 5.83 \\
Cortical area (mm\(^2\)) & 194 ± 2.72 & 181 ± 5.09 & 191 ± 2.80 & 183 ± 6.72 \\
Cortical thickness (mm) & 3.61 ± 0.065 & 3.54 ± 0.121 & 3.61 ± 0.067 & 3.46 ± 0.160 \\
SSI (mm\(^3\)) & 1315 ± 28.4 & 1166 ± 53.2 & 1257 ± 29.3 & 1227 ± 70.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Radial and Tibial Bone Variables at the Trabecular and Cortical Sites}
\end{table}

Values are adjusted means ± SEM for muscle cross sectional area (mm\(^2\)) and limb (radial or tibial) length (mm).
Ad36+ = adenovirus 36; Area = cross-sectional area of bone; BMC = bone mineral content; vBMD = volumetric bone mineral density; BSI = bone strength index; BMC = cortical bone mineral content; SSI = strength strain index; OD = optical density.
\(^a\)Cut points used to denote normal-fat and high-fat were determined using cardiovascular risk factors.\textsuperscript{(14,15)} Cut points used to denote Ad36+ and Ad36- were determined by ELISA and were based on control OD values; normal-fat (n = 93); Ad36+ (n = 48), Ad36- (n = 45); high-fat (n = 22); Ad36+ (n = 14), Ad36- (n = 8).
\(^b\)Two × two (virus presence × adiposity) analysis of covariance results for radial and tibial bone variables, adjusting for muscle cross-sectional area and limb length.
\(^c\)Post hoc comparisons show significantly lower bone strength values in Ad36+ versus Ad36- subjects in the high-fat group, but not the normal-fat group.
antibody responses. However, Ad36 exposure could lead to health effects that are secondary to the infection and may result in permanent tissue changes. Though the timing of initial Ad36 exposure in our study is unknown, if past Ad36 infection in the obese subjects led to secondary effects on bone, this sequence of events would be consistent with other health hazards associated with Ad36 and obesity, such as cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes, and hypertension. In this regard, some outcomes linked with early infection with Ad36 may be permanent, but it may also be possible to vaccinate to prevent or reduce these features. Because both osteoblasts and adipocytes share a common progenitor cell, the inverse relationship between adipogenesis and osteogenesis in bone marrow may lead to decreased bone mass when adipogenesis is stimulated. Among the investigated mechanisms explaining Ad36 infection, obesity, and bone, Ad36 infection has been linked to inflammation via increased production of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and to greater lipid accumulation in preadipocytes, leading to increased bone mobilization and reduced bone formation. Specifically, Ad36 infection may affect preadipocyte differentiation and/or mesenchymal stem cell differentiation, an effect that could contribute to bone loss through a virus-associated preponderance of osteoclasts over osteoblasts. As Ad36 seropositive status could be a hallmark of a clinical-metabolic profile preceding obesity, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and osteoporosis, it would be prudent to evaluate in future studies if Ad36 infections early in life drive changes that are hallmarks of obesity later in life.

Limitations of this study included its cross-sectional nature, which prevented ascertaining historical or prospective data on the length of time since infection and/or becoming obese. Because obesity is associated with metabolic disturbances, such as insulin resistance and increased inflammation that have been shown to negatively affect bone, a wider array of biochemical and endocrine factors, such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ), NF-κB, and serum 25(OH)D, may have helped explain our findings and should be included as a focus for future studies. Moreover, we did not collect information on the types and frequencies of physical activities performed during puberty, which are influential for bone mineral accrual particularly during growth. Though, participants who may have been more physically active would have likely had greater MCSA, which was controlled for in our analyses. Strengths of this study include the use of contemporary DXA and pQCT technologies that allowed us to explore the novel hypothesis that seropositivity for Ad36 is not only associated with increased adiposity, but also lower bone strength. By grouping participants by %body fat versus BMI, we excluded the possibility of misclassification of those with high amounts of body fat that may have otherwise been classified as normal weight if BMI had been used in the grouping process. Last, an advantage of this sample was that key factors known to influence bone, such as sex, age, and maturational status, were controlled by study design.

In summary, our results suggest that excess %body fat and Ad36 seropositivity adversely influence forearm bone strength in late-adolescent females entering adulthood. Though our study suggests a concern for bone health in a population experiencing the highest global rates of obesity, additional research should validate a cause and effect relationship that considers physical activity, metabolic abnormalities, and environmental influences, which can be extended to other race and age groups. If these relationships are confirmed, the information gained will advance our understanding of bone development with potentially long-lasting benefits, including the development of vaccines or other treatment modalities to counteract the effects of the virus.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the average magnitude of difference A–B/[A + B/2] × 100 at the cortical site of the tibia and radius in the High-Fat + Ad36 Negative group (n = 8) versus High-Fat + Ad36 Positive group (n = 14) adjusted for muscle cross-sectional area (mm²) and limb-specific length (mm). The outer white circles represent cortical bone, the textured circles represent trabecular bone, and the gray circles represent medullary cavity. Significant associations are in bold. Ad36+ = Ad36 positive; Ad36− = Ad36 negative.
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